

# TEXT\_NetZeroTeesside\_10052022

Well, good morning. Can I just confirm that everyone can hear me clearly? The room Thank you. Can I also confirm with Miss Evans that the live streaming of the events is commenced?

Thank you. For those people watching the live stream can I also advise that should we hit any point during proceedings this morning, we will have to stop the live stream in order to give us clear recording files. As a result at that point, which we commenced the meeting and restart live stream, you will need to refresh your browser page to view the restarted stream. I'll remind you of this again should we need to adjourn is now 10 o'clock and sign for this meeting. To begin. I'd like to welcome you all to this preliminary meeting for the application made by a netzero Teesside power limited and netzero naughty storage limited who who we will refer to as the applicants for an order granting development consent for Net Zero to side projects. The development proposed is described as a full chain carbon capture, usage and storage projects comprising a number of elements including new gas fired Electricity Generating Station with electrical outputs up to 860 megawatts. With posting question carbon capture plants, gas electricity and water connections for the Electricity Generating Station. A carbon dioxide pipeline network, a gathering network for collecting carbon dioxide from a cluster of local industries on T side, a high pressure carbon dioxide compression station compressor station and an onshore carbon dioxide export pipeline. Thank you for attending this meeting. My name is Kevin Gleason. I'm the chartered town planner. I'm a plumbing inspector, employed by the planning Inspectorate and have been appointed by the Secretary of State's for levelling up housing and communities to be the lead member of this panel to examine the application. I'm now going to ask other members of the panel to introduce themselves.

Morning my name is Susan Hanson. I'm also a chartered town planner and a planning inspector. Now I'm employed by the planning Inspectorate and have been appointed by the Secretary of State to be a member of the panel for the examination of this application.

My name is Beth Davis. I'm a chartered geologist and our planning inspector. And I've also been appointed by the Secretary of State to be a member of the panel today.

Thank you. So together we constitute the examining authority for this application. And we will be reporting to the Secretary of State for business energy and industrial strategy with a recommendation as to whether the development consent order should be made. The case manager for this project is Sean Evans and she is supported here today by Alberto sentiment Santa Maria, they located to my rights until a boss is also providing support remotely. All three are members of the case team who are likely to come into contact with during the course of the examination. If you have any questions or

queries about the examination process, or the technology we are using for blended or virtual events, they should be a first point of contact. Their contact details can be found at the top of any letters you have received commas on the project page of the national infrastructure websites, please don't hesitate to contact a member of the case team if you need any help at today's events, or with technology. This is a blend of events comprising an in person meeting as well as being held on the Microsoft Teams platform. It's been both live streamed and recorded for those people observing or participating through teams. In order to minimise background noise. Can you please make sure that you stay muted unless you're speaking? If you're participating virtually in wish to speak at the relevant point in the proceedings? Please use the Microsoft team's hands up function. There'll be advised there may be a delay before we see it. And please wait to be invited to speak or asked to speak at the appropriate time. Alternatively, please turn on your camera so that we can see that you wish to speak. Can you also remind people that the chat function on Microsoft Teams will not work? So please don't try to use this to ask any questions or post any comments. If you don't manage to ask your question or raise your pointer The relevant parts of the meeting, there will be an opportunity for you to do so under item seven on the agenda, any other matters. Because the digital recordings that we make are retained and published, they form a public record that can contain your personal information, and switch the general data protection regulations apply. The planning inspector its practice is to retain and publish recordings for a period of five years from the Secretary of State's decision. Consequently, if you participate in today's meeting, it's important that you understand that you will be live streamed and recorded and that the digital recording will be published. If you don't want your image to be recording can switch off your camera. And for those in the room who don't want to be recorded, there is an area to camera shots and can take the seats to my left. If you feel the personal information is necessary, please provide this in a written documents that we can redact before publication. Does anyone have any questions on this specific matter? Thank you. So I'll now deal with a few preliminary preliminary matters. For those attending in person. The usual reminders of Can everyone please set all device notifications to silence. If anyone needs a hit the hearing loop facilities, I'm told that that is available, please contact production 78 or managing the events until there are no fire alarm drills or tests today. So in the event of an alarm, please exit by the emergency exits, which are to my rights left and the back of the room. Toilets also located out in the lobby area where you came in. And we'll take a short break throughout around 1130. If it appears that we need to continue much beyond that time.

For those sitting in the seats behind the table, if you do wish to speak, there will be a roving microphone. So please wait until one of my colleagues provides that to you. And before you speak, please make sure you state your name and who you represent. So moving on, you're all here today because you are one of the following categories. You are the applicants or representatives, the applicants, you represent the house local authorities or neighbouring authority. You represent bodies that are statutory parties, you sent in a relevant representation and therefore become an interested party. You're an individual or a body affected by the application for compulsory acquisition, or land or rights over lands, or you are a combination of all of these categories. There may also be people here who aren't covered by any of those descriptions. Chevy you are you're very welcome to the meeting. So the meeting will follow the agenda as set out in our letter, the 11th of April, which will show refer to from now on as the real six letter. I hope that you have a copy of that letter to hands. And if you do please turn to annex A, which sets out the agenda for this meeting this morning's meeting. And as you'll

see, we are currently on item one of that agenda. Is it possible to have that agenda on the screen as well please, for a short while.

So unless one agenda are also available on the project page of the national infrastructure websites, they can be found in the examination library at PDX double o nine. So let me briefly explain the purpose of this preliminary meeting. We're here to focus on the way in which we intend to examine the application. We'll be discussing only the procedural aspects of this examination this morning. We're not taking any evidence of this meeting. And we will not be discussing either the merits or the concerns that you may have regarding this application. We'd like to emphasise that we have taken no decision decisions yet about the merits of the application. We can concerns or merits will only be considered once the examination the application begins, which is following the close of this preliminary meeting. notes have been taken at this meeting, and these will be placed on the project pages of the national infrastructure websites and deposited at the locations listed in Annex f of the rule six letter as soon as practicable after the close of the preliminary meeting. Together with recordings of today's meet Seeing with this in mind, it will be enormously beneficial to us if each time you speak, you could state your name and if you're representing someone who it is you represent. Please also bear in mind that the only official record of today's communication today's proceedings are the notes of digital recording, tweets, blogs and similar communication arising out of the meeting will not be accepted as evidence in the examination of this application. I've been provided by the case team with a list of those who've expressed a wish to be heard today. They are people representing the following organisations so we have South Seas Development Corporation who have submitted relevant rep o 35. Climate Emergency Planning and Policy submitted relevance rep. Oh 23 and clients earth who submitted relevance rep tableau for I'm going to now ask those of you who are participating in today's meeting to introduce yourselves. When I state your organization's name. Could you please introduce yourself stating your name and who you represent? Can we start with the applicants and any of their advisors please?

Good morning, sir. I appear on behalf of the applicants. My name is Harry Woodfill, part Queen's counsel. I appear together with Miss Isabella toofer of counsel to my left. And I'm instructed by Pinsent Masons are represented here by Mr. Nick MacDonald. To my right,

thank you. And then can we move on to the organisations who have expressed the wish to speak this morning if we start with se Development Corporation.

Good morning. My name is Tom Henderson. I'm a solicitor and partner with BDD Pitmans. We are instructed by SE and I'm in cooperation in this matter. To my left is Mr. Mark Reynolds. Representing SDDC. To my immediate right is my colleague Ryan hack associate with b2b Pitmans. And to his right is Matt Johnson, representing T's works. And sorry, it may be helpful at this point just to confirm that we appear on behalf of STD C T's works and the Combined Authority so we represent all of those interests Thank you

instead. And then move on to climate Emergency Planning and Policy.

Good morning, sir. Dr. Andrew Boswell. I'm an independent scientist, environmental consultant for climate Emergency Planning and Policy.

Thank you. And clients Earth. Good morning. I'm Sam Hunter Jones in house to the strip planter. Thank you very much.

Is there anyone else who wishes to speak? I will say now that this doesn't preclude you from speaking later. If you wish to respond to any comments. It's useful to have an idea if anyone does want to notify us now. No. Okay. Thank you.

So that concludes item one on the agenda. Are there any other comments anyone wishes to make under item one? In that case, I'll pass over to actually uninstall an item. I'm leaving on item two as well. Item two is the examining authorities remarks about the examination process. So in order to streamline the running of this events in Annex B of our rule six letter we're providing an introduction to the preliminary meeting and the examination process, explaining how it will be conducted and how you can participate. for expediency. I'm assuming that everyone has read this Therefore, I don't propose to spend time reading it's out now. It's important to the examining authority that you're clear in your understanding of the process. Therefore, if there is anything you are unclear about, on which you need clarity, then please do ask. One of the advantages of holding the preliminary meeting and hearings as physical events is that we have is that when the formal proceedings have ended, the applicants and parties who have attended the events take the opportunity to talk face to face, people seem to find this informal interaction to be useful way to discuss matters, which may have been outstanding for some time, and being in the same room can be a spur to action. For those who are attending the event, virtually the same opportunities won't occur. Nevertheless, we would ask the applicant to engage in dialogue, both with parties attending today's events, and with others attending virtually tried to make progress when matters are still in disputes. This is particularly important in relation to matters of compulsory acquisition, and temporary possession.

As the COVID Pan COVID-19 pandemic restrictions have been lifted, we've decided to hold blended events this week. However, the examining authority will continue to review the most appropriate formats for future events, which may be blended or virtual. And we would welcome comments on however events should be conducted. This will be dealt with further under item six. If you have any specific points to make regarding the draft timetable, these will be considered later in the agenda under item five. So on the basis of the information set out in Annex B, are there any questions about the way in which the examination will be sorry, the examining authority intends to examine this application.

Thank you. So I'm now going to hand over to Miss Davis who will deal with item three, the initial assessments of principal issues.

Thank you. I'll now turn to Item three, which is the initial assessment of principal issues. For this item, it would be useful if you have Annex C of our rule six letter in front of you. We've said the list of the 14 main headings of the principal issues on the screen, but you can find the details for each one of these in Annex C. This list provides an initial framework of issues for the examination of this proposal. Although it does not preclude us from amending the list by removing or adding to the proposed principle issues at a later stage in the process. I have nothing further to add at this stage. Nevertheless, individuals here today may wish to comment on either the broad headings or the individual matters. By inviting you to speak please remember that we are not looking for submissions on the merits of the scheme that will form part of the detailed examination of the application which commences after this preliminary meeting. We are only considering comments on the broad principle issues as identified at this stage. With that in mind, I will now invite submissions. Please remember to introduce yourself and the organisation you're representing each time you speak. So we've got four requests to speak on this agenda item. Firstly, Mr. Reynolds from south to us Development Corporation.

Thank you. It's Mr. Henderson. Gonna be making the submissions. Okay, thanks. That's me. Yeah, we have three submissions to make on principle. Assessment of issues. The first relates to race to issue six and and and issue 11. In terms of the relationship to and impact on other developments in major projects, we, we noted that certain projects were were listed, for example, zero carbon Humber East Coast cluster. But we noted there was no Express reference to the T's work site. And our submission is that it that it should be expressly mentioned given its national importance as a regeneration site and a free port. So we just ask that that's that's added. Our second point, as a point of clarification on issue 13, traffic and transport and public rights of way there was a reference within that to alternative access points. And we just wanted to be clear, that that would capture that One of our key issues, which is a point of access on T stock Road, which we're contesting, we just wanted clarification that that was what was intended to be covered by that agenda. Right. And that those kinds of matters? Yes, it is. Okay. And the last point, which we think maybe a new issue that's not currently listed in the principal, this list of issues related to construction waste management. In our relevant rep, we queried the absence of detail on the quantity, location and duration of storage, of tunnel arisings. And the impacts or potential impacts on our on our interests. So we ask that that's included in the principle, a list of issues, please.

Thank you, Mr. Henderson. We've made a note of those points. We'll consider those further. Thank you very much. Dr. Boswell online, you're next on my list to speak.

Thank you, Dr. Andrew Boswell climate Emergency Planning and Policy, I have two submissions to make on Item three, climate change. The thirst relates to the environmental impact regulations and cumulative assessment of the carbon emissions from the project. And essentially, how that will be dealt

with under the sort of the expanded out item through which is on page 18, the Annex C page 18 of the rule six letter. The cumulative assessment is not of carbon emissions isn't covered in the list. Though it may be covered sort of tangentially so to speak, I'd make the request that it's explicitly listed. And it issue three, item three.

That was my first one. I've been sort of quiet sort of it. And if you want to respond to that one last straw, I'll give you my second submission.

Only as far as to say, I've made a note of that. Thank you for what you've got. And yeah, please do move on to

the second submission is on the fuel itself to the power station aspect. And how that interacts with the second issue on the climate change the the overall change in greenhouse gas emissions that may arise from the operation construction operation of the proposed development. I'm referring here to the supply chain, greenhouse gas emissions, and particularly issues around methane leakage. And how the scope of the climate change issue might and free will. We'll address those, he's probably just worth saying in terms of context. That the the UN climate conference in Glasgow in November, the cop 26, the US and the EU and the UK put forward a global methane pledge, which has now been signed by 111 countries. And as part of this, the cop 26 agreements and that pledge is to reduce methane by at least 30% by 2030 against 2020 levels. So there is a sort of international context there which the UK has signed up indeed sort of drove the the discussions on that app, the cop in Glasgow. So I talked later also in the issue specific hearing on this, but my point here on my submission here is that what does the overall change in greenhouse gas emissions mean on that second bullet under climate change? And how will the the Meth In the missions and embedded in the fuel itself, which can vary a lot incident they be the assessed. Thank you.

Thank you Dr. Boss. Well, I've made a note of all of that.

Thank you very much.

Mr. Hunter Jones from clientearth is also online.

Thank you. So I don't have any submissions to make on this item. Thank you, Mr. Hunter Jones. Was there anybody else that wanted to speak on this item?

If I may, morph Thompson for Anglo American on freelance solicitor prompted really by Mr. Henderson, if you're going to add his project to the list in the autumn, or issue 11 I think he appropriate you add the Anglo American project to as well, which is a nationally significant infrastructure project in its own right. Clearly, I don't think the list is intended to be a list including every project but it is a very significant project which ought to be in there. I think if T's works.

Thank you, Miss Thompson. Was there anything that the applicants would like to add Mr. Field?

Nothing substantive, madam, I made a note of the points. Ultimately, it's a matter for you and your colleagues which issues you consider should be on the list and the breadth or otherwise of the way you express them. were content with the list as it is or supplemented as you see fit.

Thank you, Mr. Phillpotts. I have no further points or questions on this agenda item. Are there any other points that anybody wishes to raise now on the initial assessment of principle issues before we move on to the next item. If anything does arise, please put them in writing to us by draft deadline one on Thursday the 26th of May. I'll now move on to Agenda Item four, which relates to procedural decisions taken by the examination authority. I now ask that you turn to annex i of the rule six letter, where you will see that we've made a number of procedural decisions about the management of the examination. These are in addition to the decision to hold hearings today and tomorrow. An issue specific hearing this afternoon will address the scope of the proposed developments. And a second issue specific hearing tomorrow morning we'll consider the draft DCO. A compulsory acquisition hearing will be held tomorrow afternoon. agendas for these can be found in annexes f g and h of the rules six letter Annex I explains in some depth the reasons for these procedural decisions. But I'm not going to comment on some of these matters before in but I'm going to comment on some of these matters before inviting Questions or comments from participants. As a slight change to the agenda, the matter of a company site inspections will be dealt with shortly by Mrs. Hunt in item six. I'll now run through the request for statements of common ground. I'll share the list of the statements that we're expecting on the screen. You can find the details for each one of these in part two of Annex I would someone be able to put up that list please on the screen.

While we're doing that, the aim of a statement of common ground is to agree factual information to identify where there is agreement and where the differences lie between parties at an early stage of the examination process. They should focus to provide a focus and save time by identifying matters which are not in dispute or need not be the subject to further evidence. It can also usefully state where and why there may be disagreement about the interpretation and relevance of the information. The reasons for the differences and the implications of this can then be expanded upon in the evidence. Thank you. Section two of Annex I we've listed a number of interested parties with whom we would expect the applicants to provide a statement of common ground as well as the relevant local authorities and the other statutory consultees This includes affected statutory undertakers and the landowners and occupiers identified at paragraphs nine 125 and nine 130 of the statement of reasons which is a PPS

008. The range of topics that we would expect to see included in these statements is also set out in the table in Annex I. Does anyone have any comments that they want to make on the statements of common ground and section two of annex i Mr. Henderson

Thank you, Mr. Anderson for southeast of lemon Corporation. Building on the point I made earlier, I think currently there are references to statements of common ground with SDDC and the Combined Authority and then separately with these works. But as I mentioned, we are one in the same entity. So I would ask that that's consolidated into a single statement of Common Ground please.

Thank you. Moving on to local impact reports, part four of Annex II sets out a request for local impact reports from the relevant local authorities, which are Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council and Stockton on tees Borough Council. Whilst the order limits did not extend to Hartlepool Borough Council, it is in close proximity and in particular landscape and visual and ecological effects extend into the borough. While the council aren't present today, the examining authority expects the applicant to liaise with them on this matter at the earliest possible opportunity. The essay will also direct a number of first written questions to Hartlepool Borough Council, which may be more neatly dealt with in a local impact report. Are there any comments that the applicants would like to make on this

matter? No, I did, though, just want to raise a one point about statements of common ground I didn't get my button press quickly. And apologies I haven't agreed moved on. It was simply this we alerted the examiner authority in our email accepting attendance at the preliminary meeting that there were three additional statements of common ground that we were proposing to enter into. And those are the North Sea transition authority, the offshore petroleum regulator for environment and decommissioning and national highways. We thought those would be useful and those are therefore underway and proposed to be added.

Thank you Mr. Philpott. That's helpful. So I should probably ask if there were any comments from anyone else on this item and the one before. As a reminder, the local impact report should be submitted in final form by draft deadline one which is Thursday the 26th of name. Additional submissions into the examination. Following the acceptance of the location the applicants submitted a range of additional documents on the 24th of September. The examining authority accepted these additional submissions which have been published on the project website and can be accessed through the examination library with the references as 0012 as 31. These are also listed in Annex I. The applicant has also submitted a change request on the 29th of April 13 changes are proposed all of which have been subject to a non statutory consultation exercise. Most of these are aimed at reducing optionality land take and complexity. We made a procedural decision on the sixth of May to accept the change request. This is on the basis that the proposed changes do not individually or cumulatively constitute a materially different project. We're also satisfied that there is sufficient time within the examination for the proposed changes to be properly and fairly examined. There are therefore been a number of further additional submissions accepted since the rule six letter was published on the 11th of



April, which have examination library references as 46 to as 195. Given the number of additional documents, I don't propose to describe them at this point. Does anyone have any questions regarding the acceptance of any of these documents?

Given the timing of the formal change request, we want to give both the applicants and other attendees at this meeting the opportunity to comment on how the proposed changes should be examined with reference to the draft examination timetable issued with the rule six letter, and we'll come back to this in the next item. Having provided an update with regard to procedural decisions, generally Does anyone wish to make any further comments about any of them?

But there's just one point whether or not it falls under this item or whether it's any other matters, but it's an updated document. We again indicated that we are proposing to put in an update to the planning statement a deadline one that will deal with recent decision of the High Court and also deal with updates to the policy position. So the revised NPPF the publication of the draft MPs Another government policy that postdates the one that was submitted. So we indicated that in advance, but I just raise it now so that people know that's coming a deadline one.

Thank you for the reminder, Mr. Phil port. Was there anybody else that wants to comment? No in a line. Finally, on this agenda item, I would say that while we've accepted and published these documents prior to the examination commencing, we would advise parties that any documents submitted between deadlines will not normally be published until the subsequent deadline has passed. Thank you. I'll now hand you over to Mrs. Hunt, who will talk through the draft timetable for the examination hearings and site inspections, which are set out in Annex is d to H they're all six letter.

Thank you, be useful to have Annex D of our all six letter in front of you for this item. And there's a summary of all the dates on the screen now. This sets out our draft examination timetable for the next six months from today to completion on the first day, the 10th November. The draft dates for hearings and deadlines for the submission of written documents are all set out in this annex and it also includes dates for ourselves as the examination authority to issue documents such as our further written questions, our proposed schedule of changes to the draft 11% Order and the report on implications for European science. And please note that the time for submission on each stated date is one minutes and midlines. And in the interest of brevity, I don't intend to read all this out and I will highlight some key points. So following the start of the examination today, we will hold our first issue specific hearing at two o'clock this afternoon. And this is a high level hearing. It relates to the need for the proposed developments policy context in relation to the net zero strategy alternatives, the components of the projects including the offshore elements and the extent of the carbon dioxide gathering network. And tomorrow, we will be holding issues specific hearing to and this will involve an overview of the draft DCO development consent order. And tomorrow afternoon at 2pm. We will hold our first compulsory acquisition hearing. And this again will provide a high level overview of this matter and it will not look into detail of the individual relevant representations. And accompanied site inspection has been

arranged for Thursday the 12th of May and I'll talk about this shortly. As soon as practicable after this initial this week's initial set of hearings, where it has been completed we will issue our roll eight letter which will finalise the timetable. And at the same time, we are going to issue our first set of written questions that there may be a slight delay to this than you would normally expect straight after preliminary meeting simply because of the the hearings that we've got this week. That line one is currently timetabled for Thursday, the 26th of May, and amongst other things, we'll be expecting to receive comments on the relevant representations which we received a number of months ago. notifications from those people who wish to speak at an open floor hearing any future issues specific hearings and compulsory acquisition hearing. Any requests to attend any further accompanied site inspections will expect to receive the local impact reports from the relevant local authorities and the initial version of the statements of common ground. Deadlines who is currently programmed for Thursday the ninth of June. And this is when interested parties will need to submit their more detailed written representations on the application. And at this deadline we're also expecting to receive amongst other things the responses to our first recent questions which we'll be issuing next week. We will expect comments on the local impact reports and updates to the compulsory acquisition status of negotiations schedule and the draft development consent order. And as you will see from the timetable on the screen there are another 10 The proposed deadlines where we will require information space method I won't go through them all you can see them there and in their role six letter in front of you. But I do ask that all interested parties review these deadlines and you can make submissions to this meeting. Be conscious that it's a tight timetable in places. But you should be able to note from all those dates that we've aimed to avoid hearings during the school holidays. And as Miss Davis already described, the applicants change request was submitted on the 28th of April. And that's now been accepted by the examination, examining authority. And we've sent a letter out on Friday, the sixth of May just last week. And this This says that we're happy for the applicants and interested parties to comment on the examination of the proposed changes in terms of the examination timetable. So now's the opportunity for anyone's comments on that if they wish, and are any changes needed to the timetable to reflect the change request? Mr. filbert?

Thank you, Madam, I've got two points on this. First of all, we think it will be helpful to set a deadline or comments from interested parties on the implications of the changes, we've suggested in the written note that we put in that that should be deadline one, the reason for that being that there was very little comment in response to consultation on the application to make the changes. And for most, most interested parties that either reduces the impacts or makes little difference. And so we anticipate that there won't be a great deal to save for most will leave that to your discretion as to when you set that deadline. The second matter arising from the changes, there is of course, the necessity to follow the compulsory acquisition regulations. And to include within the timetable, the dates when the various steps that are required by those regulations should be taken, we have a note which we can provide, to the examining authority of our suggestions as to when those dates would fall, mindful of the deadlines that are set by the regulations. I don't propose to go through all of our suggested dates. Now there's a number of steps that will need to be taken. But in terms of the the first of those dates, which is soon to regulation five, when we need to submit a supplementary book of reference land plans, the statement of reasons and funding statement relating to the additional land, we're anticipating doing that by Monday, the 23rd of May, that then provides the trigger for the steps that follow after that. So there is of

course, then the decision by the examining authority, whether or not to accept the additional land documents, you have up to 28 days for this, but in the timetable, we're going to put forward, we anticipate that that might be able to be made sooner than that. And then we've also set out dates for the publication of notices and the serving of notices and certification of compliance with those requirements. And without going through all of them, in turn, what it means in terms of when we would get to any further compulsory acquisition here and in relation to the additional land that would take it beyond the July hearings. And therefore we think that that would be dealt with in these hearings on the week of the fifth of September, the additional land. So I can go through those dates in detail if you'd like but it might be more helpful to provide you with the written suggestions. And then you can consider whether those dates are sensible or whether they need to be adjusted.

Well, we'll take those in writing and we'll we'll take a look at those two are all later is happening. Okay. Is there any more comments on the change request

is more at Thompson anglo-american? Can I just ask whether you could consider responses on the changes being for deadline to rather than deadline one so that we have the ability to just combine them with our written representations which we're having to prepare for deadline to any event? There's not a huge difference between the two dates.

Okay, thank you have made a note of that. Mr. Henderson?

Thank you, I was actually gonna make the same point as to whether we could consolidate that into into deadline to to give us a bit more time to comment and in any event, our written representation will reflect what the changes have meant for our time. objections anyway.

case Any further comments before I go out to the applicants to fill hold,

I don't have a strong objection to deadline to if people need more time. As has been said, it's not a great deal further down the timetable, and it also does coincide with written representation. So I'm happy to leave that to your discretion.

Okay, thank you very much. Okay, before I move on, are there any other comments on the draft timetable? Generally not just the change request?

I have one further small comment, which is just to clarify when you would like to receive summaries of oral submissions from this week? I'm not sure that there is an item included in that in the timetable at the moment in your hands as to when you'd find that most helpful.

Er the I think we've said firmly that it will be deadline one Thursday the 26th of May. Is that correct? Mr. Gleason? does not list us?

Yes, deadline one seems appropriate.

Thank you. Okay, so I've already mentioned that we will issue our first round of written questions. So that will be mid late next week, and that'll be shortly after this week's hearings. You will also see from the draft timetable that if required, there will be a second set of written questions. That's currently pencilled in for the ninth of August. And we may also at any point during the examination issue specific requests for information from named parties only if necessary, and this is known as a rule 17 letter. All parties should be aware this is anytime after the last deadline or events set out in a timetable, we may decide under Section 99 of the act that the examination is complete. This may be before the end of the six month period. Let's use the statutory period for the completion of the examination. In any case, when the examining authority has completed its examination of the application, it will inform each of the interested parties. And I just like to highlight the importance of ensuring that information is submitted in accordance with the set deadlines that are listed in the timetable. Because it seemed like a long way away the 10th of November, but it will go quickly and it says a tight timescale. We do have the ability to accept late submissions into the examination, but this is at our discretion, and it should only be done in exceptional circumstances. late submissions do restrict the ability of ourselves and other parties to respond to the information and it can jeopardise the examination timetable. It's therefore important to know that if you do submit something late, there's always the possibility that it might not be accepted. Is there anything else anybody wants to raise on the timetable before I move on to the next item, agenda item six. Don't see any hands. So run through site inspections and hearings accompany site inspections. These are so ourselves as the examining authority as an understanding of the proposed development within its site and surroundings, as well as its effects. We've already undertaken an unaccompanied site inspection and that was on the first of March and we did this from publicly accessible land only. And the notes are available to view on the project page of the website at evey 001. They explained that this inspection was undertaken principally in order to view the location of the proposed power capture and compression site which is works number one, seven and eight. That was we took that from a number of viewpoints as well as a general overview of the order land and the wider area. However, the majority of the order land is not publicly accessible. And therefore we've decided to undertake an early accompanied site inspection. And that's been arranged for this Thursday the 12th of May And along with the applicants represents to Dave so I know that self teased Development Corporation will be presence and SEM Corp utilities UK Limited have registered to attend, specifically in relation to the T's work sites and the number two tunnel. Is that correct?

That's my understanding.

I've also had a notice that a Mr. Andy Jevons of Charles Russell speech lace, this request is registered to attend on behalf of Air Products. Is that your understanding? Mr. Phil? Yes, that's

my understanding.

And is that is that been pre arranged?

Now I do think there has been but apart from receiving the election arena,

okay. Is there a misogynist? Is there anyone from Charles Warshall speech less present today? No, okay, that's fine. Because of the industrial nature of the older land and the associated security and safety issues, the applicants are only able to allow access to a limited number of people at any one time. So if anyone else wishes to attend this accompanied site inspection, and cannot do so this Thursday, we have reserved a date for a further inspection to be undertaken later in the examination if necessary. This is currently timetabled for the week commencing fifth of October we do we do intend to review this dates. We are inviting parties to indicate in writing by the first deadline on Thursday 26th of May if they wish to attend any future accompanied site inspection and to give suggested locations for us to visit. And as they've done so for this Thursday's visit, the applicant should prepare a draft itinerary based on any suggested locations. Comments on the applicant draft accompanied site inspection arrangements and itinerary can then be made by interested parties. And the final itinerary will be published with at least three weeks in advance of the event taking place. And it should be noted that if you haven't submitted anything at deadline one, it doesn't preclude you further down the line from requesting any further site visits, including suggestions of perhaps prospective locations during the examination. And this may be after you've had the opportunity to review what's been said at hearings and in any additional documents, that there should be good reason for doing so. It may be that the examining authority also decides to carry out further on accompanied site inspections. And these can be at any point during the examination. And we will place any notes from these inspections on the project page of the website. Does anybody have any comments or questions in relation to site inspections before I move on to hearings?

Nope, I'll move on to hearings. As Mr. Gleason's already said this, we have been able to hold hearings this week because of blended events in person with with other parties able to attend virtually, if they wish. Our draft timetable doesn't make any assumptions about how future hearings will be held. That we will give as much notice as possible regarding whether they will take place as a blended event like today, or fully virtual that via Microsoft Teams. And I will seek your comments on this shortly. We haven't received any requests for an open floor hearing notes as yes and we have reserved Tuesday

the 12th of July to hold an evening events if required to provide opportunities for any individuals or community groups to participate verbally. A third issue specific hearing relating to the draft development consent order resources scheduled for the first Tuesday the 12th of July, followed by a second compulsory acquisition hearing on the 13th of July and then two days of issues specific hearings on the 14th and 15th of July into a range of environmental matters. The agendas for these hearings will be published near the time We've also reserved further two separate weeks for any additional issue specific hearings and compulsory acquisition hearings. Only if required. These would be in the weeks commencing the fifth of September, and the 17th of October and Mr. Phillipotts comments about the change request. That's you don't need to wait until this time to have your say on the proposed developments. These are reserved dates for any later or any unforeseen issues that needs to be mopped up towards the end of the examination period. If any interested parties anticipate that you would wish to be heard at any of these future hearings, please notify us in writing. My deadline was on the 26th of May. Okay, I'll open up to any comments. And in particular, I'd like views on whether you have a preference for any future hearings to be blended events like this week, or fully virtual. Mr. Phil parts.

were content with blended events. If circumstances change, and they have to be fully virtual Soviet, we don't have any particular comment to make.

Anybody else? Counsel?

David pedaler record Cleveland Council. We're happy with a blended approach as the applicant stated.

Okay, thank you. Anybody else? Any strong feelings either way? Anybody online? No. Great, thank you. Any any requests that have been set out today? We will we will take account of any suggestions when finalising the timetable in our forthcoming roll eight letter. I'll now hand back over to Mr. Gleason for any other matters.

Thank you. I've had no other matters notified me into this agenda item that people wish to raise this morning. Are there any items in relation to procedural or other relevant matters that anyone wishes to raise after what they've heard today?

In that case, I'll move to close the meeting. So thank you all for attending, both in person and virtually today. And for your contributions. We very much look forward to commencing the examination of this application so afternoon. May we remind you that both notes and digital recording of the proceedings today will be made available as soon as practicable on the project page of the national infrastructure websites. And just to reiterate the next stages of the process are issues specific hearing on the scope of the proposed developments here at 2pm. Today, issue specific hearing two on the draft DCO. Here

at 10am. Tomorrow, compulsory acquisition hearing one here 2pm Tomorrow accompanied site inspection on Thursday. And the issue of examination timetable and further written questions next week with deadline one following on Thursday 26th of May. So now the time is Two Minutes to 11. And this preliminary meeting, point net zero T side projects is now closed. Thank you very much