

Allison Edwards

From: Planning Admin
Subject: FW: R/2021/0728/PND Objection

From: James Perry <>
Sent: 08 September 2021 17:25
To: Planning Admin <PlanningAdmin.Admin@redcar-cleveland.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: R/2021/0728/PND Objection

ATTN: Dear Mr Pedlow,

I would like to add my concerns too to Cllr Jefferys highlighting Local Plan Policy LS4 that states that the Local Plan will: "safeguard and enhance the significance of buildings, sites, settings and areas of heritage and cultural importance including the 'Dorman Long' tower at South Bank Coke Ovens supporting its adaptation to enable alternative uses". I appreciate that this application is a PND, but LS4 is a considerable material consideration. If it is not to be given weight, then the application route being used circumvents local policy and deeply undermines the perceived democracy of the planning process. I would therefore ask that it is rejected with the applicant to make a full plan submission so that relevant local policy can be considered.

I trust that significant weight will be given from the local plan in the determination of this application.

Yours Faithfully,

James Perry

On Wed, Sep 8, 2021 at 5:08 PM James Perry <jamesandrewperry@googlemail.com> wrote:

ATTN: Dear Mr Pedlow,

For what it is worth, I would like to object to the demolition of the Dorman Long Tower. I recognise this application is largely a by-gone conclusion and PNDs are procedural. There are little to no grounds on which to form an objection that would carry material weight to the determination of the application at hand so I am lost to substantiate my objection in planning terms.

However, the loss of the Dorman Long Tower along with the Blast Furnace currently being demolished is a tragic indictment of the failings of the local authority to designate heritage value to these significant cultural structures of industry. Without a framework for the legacy of these structures, the authority has failed past and future generations of Teesside as 150 years of industrial heritage make their way to landfill. Teesside will be culturally a poorer place without these structures and the planning team will have to live with this failure to protect key heritage assets for future generations.

Yours Faithfully,

James Perry